# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,578	10/10/2001	Michael Irvin Hyman	Hyman 50044.12USU1	
23552	7590 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2122	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		<i>n</i>
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
÷	09/975,578	HYMAN, MICHAEL IRVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoang-Vu A Nguyen-Ba	2122
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repnication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (atory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHILL, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	b)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal matter	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 20th  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the stat	<u>01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objoin to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance the correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in App the priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-893)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 o	·	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2122

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed October 10, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

## Drawings

3. The drawings, filed October 10, 2001, are accepted by the examiner.

# **Specification**

- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 5. The following title is suggested: "Method and System for Displaying a Graphical Representation of a Server-side Object in a Visual Development Environment."

# Claim Objections

6. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: a colon – : – should be inserted between "at least one of " and "placing an icon" at line 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2122

8. Claims 8, 9, 10, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim

- a. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the visual development environment" at lines 4-5 (three occurrences). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b. Claim 18 recites the limitations "the graphical representation" and "the server-side object" at line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- c. Claims 1, 10, 18 and 20: it is unclear from which side the request for code is being made, user's side or server's side? and where exactly the graphical representation of a server-side object is to be displayed, at the user's side or at the server's side? For art rejection purposes, the request is being made at the user's side and the graphical representation of a server-side object is to be displayed at the user's side.
- d. Claims 9 and 17 recite the limitation "the graphical representation is visually similar to what is generated when an execution application requests the server-side object." First, the term "visually similar to" is vague and indefinite because one cannot ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed limitation "graphical representation." Note that an octagon displayed on a low resolution screen is visually similar to a circle. Second, the limitation "what is generated" is also vague and indefinite. What is exactly "what is generated?"
  - e. Claim 10 is vague and indefinite because:
    - i. One is not absolutely certain what "a modulated data

Page 3

Art Unit: 2122

signal" is. Is it an electrical signal? Is it permanently stored or tangibly embodied in a physical medium or it may only exist just for the duration of time it is transmitted over a communications link?

ii. a single claim which claims both a data signal (product?), computer-executable instructions (i.e., software) and method steps of displaying a graphical representation of a server-side object is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ 2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), a claim directed to an automatic transmission workstand and the method steps of using it was held to be ambiguous and properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

In claim 10, it is unclear whether an article of manufacture or a program code or a process of displaying a server-side object is claimed. With a modulated data signal (interpreted to mean a product) recited in the preamble, one would expect to find in the body of the claim means or devices having functions to display a server-side object. However, one only found method steps for displaying a server-side object.

Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

9. 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

A single claim which claims both a product and the method steps of using the product should also be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ 2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), based on the theory that the claim is directed to neither a "process" nor an "article of manufacture," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101 which is drafted

11. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only. *Id.* at 1551.

Claim 10 merely recites a product comprising computer-executable instructions for displaying a graphical representation of a server-side object. Computer-executable instructions are claimed matter that is descriptive material per se. Such non-functional descriptive material is not statutory because it is not a physical "thing" nor a statutory process as there are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer-executable instructions do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the computer's program's functionality to be realized. Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the program itself is not a process, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer's functionality. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the medium which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus mandatory. Warmerdam, 33 F.d at 1361, 31 USPQ 2d at 1760. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA) 1978). See MPEP § 2106 (IV)(B)(1)(a).

Claims 11-17, which depend from claim 10, are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2122

Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United

States before the invention by the applicant for patent or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;

13. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,493,733 to Pollack et al. ("Pollack").

## Claims 1, 10, 18 and 20

Pollack discloses at least:

- (a) upon a triggering event, requesting code that represents a user interface from a server (see at least Figure 8, steps 802, 804; Figure 9; and related discussion in the specification);
- (b) deactivating at least one element in the requested code, wherein the element causes an action to be taken when activated (see at least 5:31-53); and
- (c) displaying the graphical representation of the server-side object using the requested code (see at least Figure 5, item 504; Figure 5A, items 504, 506; Figure 6, item 602; and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

#### Claims 2 and 12

The rejection of base claims 1 and 10 is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein before deactivation, the element in the requested code is activated when a graphical object associated with the element is selected (see at least 5:31-53).

### Claims 3 and 14

The rejection of base claims 1, 10 and intervening claims 2, 11-13 is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the graphical object is a button or a hypertext link (see at least 5:31-53; Figure 4, hyperlink displayed in box 406; Figures 5A and 6, button labeled "Join List"; and related discussion in the specification).

## Claims 4, 11 and 19

The rejection of the base claims and intervening claims is incorporated. Pollack further discloses *changing an address of an image in the code* (see at least 5:31-53; Figure 4, hyperlink displayed in box 406; and related discussion in the specification).

## Claim 5

The rejection of base claim 1 and intervening claims 2, 4 is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the address is a relative reference to a location of the image (see at least 5:31-53; Figure 4, hyperlink displayed in box 406; and related discussion in the specification).

#### Claims 8 and 16

The rejection of base claims 1, 10 and intervening claims 2, 11, respectively is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the triggering event includes at least one of:

Art Unit: 2122

placing an icon associated with the server-side object on a page in a visual development environment; changing a property of a control; opening a file containing a control in the visual development environment; refreshing the visual development environment; polling by the visual development environment that indicates that the server-side object is changed; and a message sent from a server indicating that the server-side object is changed (see at least Figure 8, steps 802, 804; Figure 9; and related discussion in the specification).

#### Claims 9 and 17

The rejection of base claims 1, 10 and intervening claims 2, 11, respectively is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the graphical representation is visually similar to what is generated when an executing application requests the server-side object (see at least Figure 8, steps 802, 804; Figure 9; and related discussion in the specification).

#### Claims 6 and 15

The rejection of the base claims and intervening claims is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the code is written in a markup language (see at least 5:31-53; Figure 4, hyperlink displayed in box 406; and related discussion in the specification).

#### Claim 7

The rejection of base claim 1 and intervening claim 6 is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein the markup language is a hypertext markup language (see at least 5:31-53; Figure 4, hyperlink displayed in box 406; and related discussion in the specification).

#### Claim 13

Art Unit: 2122

The rejection of base claim 10 and intervening claims 11-12 is incorporated. Pollack further discloses wherein activating the element includes selecting a graphical object associated with the element, wherein the graphical object is a proxy in a visual development environment, wherein the proxy proxies for the server-side object (see at least 4:62 – 6:9).

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-0103. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:00 to 16:156:00 16:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2122

.

June 26, 2004

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER